## Approved For Release 2003/05/14 CMA-RDP79-00107A000100030061-8/H

MANDRAMAM FUR: Deputy Birector of Communications

CABITECT: Admiral Irvin Report for the Asbecommittee on Communications dated 9 Nevember 1962

The following ecommonts are made concerning the subject report.

- i. The organizational location of the "Executive Agent" is unclear particularly in view of Paragraph 40 of the attachment headed "A Mational Communications System". In this paragraph it is stated that "to assure as importial approach to fulfilling user needs, this sechanism should be structured under the Executive Agent in a manner that will not subject it to the sutherity of any agency which is responsible for generating communication requirements." In view of this comment as it relates to other areas of the report leaves considerable uncertainty as to the proposed organization.
- 2. In Perserand 41 of the attachment "A Mational Communica-Mons System", a reference is made to the exmedidation of funding and recommendations as to agency responsibility for budgeting for consenents of the MCS. This would imply that the MCS had a line responsibility into the various component agencies which would appear to be in direct conflict with the responsibilities of the heads of the agencies involved. Paragraph he of this attachment, referring to the "control mechanism", also states that "it should perform those functions essential to maintaining a systems approach -charming, ... programming and budgeting, .... " The method for which the central mechanism could perform the bulgeting function is not chear and it suppears that this could cause rangually difficult problems of administration and management. This is particularly hrus in view of the fact that in the agamcies directly concerned, makedly the Department of Defense, State Department and CIA, monarate appropriations are not available for staff communications; rather staff communications are funded from gomeral appropriations available to those accudes for other types of operations.
- 3. In Paragraph 3 of Appendix F is is stated that "the total fiscal program for each year, with a brankwit of the funding requirements of each user and the NCS Executive Agent, should

Approved For Release 2003/05/14 : CIA-RDP79-00107A000100030061-3

25X1

receive normal budgetary review and Presidential approval as a package and subsequently be submitted to Congress through regular agency budgets." "Normal budgetary review" ordinarily means Submission of the requirements within an agencies' budget. As indicated in Paragraph 2 above, the communications requirements are not not out as separate appropriation items in the various egencies' budgets. This would ordinarily cause considerable difficulty and the timing of such a budgetary review preparatory to submission to Congress through regular agency budgets could cause difficulty. In addition, the details of the communications estimates of the Agency includes staff communications and clandestine or operational communications requirements. It is not practical under the present procedures to break out "staff communications" from "operational communications". Considerable thought would have to be given to this problem and a ready solution does not appear to be available.

- Feragraph 3 of Appendix ? states that "in those areas where a cut would be critical, all available funds will be reprogrammed, subject to review by the Bureau of the Budget and Providential approval, to meet approved critical needs to the extent legally permissible." This in effect implies that funds budgeted for the RCS and "communication budgets" of the agencies concerned would be treated as a separate appropriation. The question of Congressional relationships might well be brought up if funds were transferred between appropriations to meet these requirements even though it was found legally permissible. It is suggested that cereful consideration be given to this point in view of the need for Congressional support of the concept of a Sectional Communications System.
- 5. In Paragraph 3 of Appendix D the statistics shown should be based on the same assumed communications speed of 100 words. Apparently some of these statistics were based on a 60 word and others on a 100 word speed basis. This paragraph is not sufficiently explicit to develop the summarizations in Paragraph 4.

		Assistant	Comptroller
M) 1			

COMPT: CNM: vh.) Distribution:

25X1

25X1

Orig & 1 - Addressee

1 -

Approved For Release 2053/03/44: CIA-RDP79-00107A000100030061-3

25X1